

COURSE EVALUATION REPORT

Туре	Evaluation of implemented SWARM course
Institution	University of Montenegro , Faculty of Civil Engineering
Course title	Hydrology
ECTS	5
Reporting date	21.03.2022.
Report author(s)	Goran Sekulić

This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.

University of Nis



Strengthening of master curricula in water resources management for the Western Balkans HEIs and stakeholders



SWARM COURSE DESCRIPTION

with special reference to learning outcomes

Course description:

Lectures, numerical assignments and an essay will help the student develop a physical understanding and analytical abilities regarding the principles governing the hydrological processes responsible for the spatial variability of water resources, This course involves the study of : hydrological cycle, climate, hydrological processes, precipitation, infiltration, evaporation, groundwater and runoff, theory for runoff generation, water in saturated and unsaturated zone, measurement and calculation of hydrological processes, numerical models, urban hydrology and, hydrological prognosis. The course focuses on quantitative hydrology..

Problems encountered during the realization of course


Please add your comments, if any:	



Evaluation details

Results of general evaluation of implemented course


Description					
Table/Figure					
General evaluation of implemented course					
Grading	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree
How do you judge the ECTS of the course in comparison with the respective work load?	0,0	0,0	0,0	30,0	70,0
In this course, are students encouraged to participate actively in class (e.g., through group work, as well as self-regulated, problem-oriented learning)?	0,0	0,0	0,0	60,0	40,0
In this course, is the infrastructure (size and condition of the room, technical equipment) is very good?	0,0	0,0	0,0	40,0	60,0
Are the subjects dealt with in this course often discussed in relationship to practical examples (relevance to practice)?	0,0	0,0	0,0	40,0	60,0
Overall, this course fosters very strongly my interest in this subject	0,0	0,0	0,0	60,0	40,0





Results of evaluation of teaching staff


Description						
Table/Figure						
Evaluation of teaching staff						
Grading	No	Slightly	Moderate	Yes	Very much	I do not know/ I don't answer
Does the teacher make complex theory understandable?	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	100,0	0,0
Does the teaching staff properly organize the presentation of the course material?	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	100,0	0,0
Does the lecturer encourage the students to ask questions and comment in a critical way?	0,0	0,0	0,0	20,0	80,0	0,0
Is the teaching staff accessible to the students?	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	100,0	0,0





Results of evaluation of summarized questions

Description						
Table/Figure						
Evaluation of summarized questions						
Grading	Bad	Not good	Moderate	Good	Very good	I do not know/ I don't answer
Overall, which is your opinion about the course?	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	100,0	0,0
Overall, which is your opinion for the teaching staff?	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	100,0	0,0





Additional comments

What I esp	pecially lik	e about tl	he course?
------------	--------------	------------	------------

Please add your comments, if any:

What could be improved in the course?

Please add your comments, if any:

Comments regarding the questions/the evaluation

Please add your comments, if any:

Please indicate your suggestions for further improvement:

No further sugestions.